

Regular Meeting #10-25
Fairfield County Commissioners' Office
June 8, 2010

9:00 a.m. Viewing – Pleasantville Road/Fisher Road Profile Improvement Project

The Board of Commissioners met on the site to view a proposed road improvement project to improve the profile of Pleasantville Road at the Fisher Road intersection in Greenfield and Liberty Townships. Commissioners present were Mike Kiger, Judith Shupe and Jon Myers. Also present were Deputy Engineer Jeff Baird, Jason Dolin and Scott Zody.

Mr. Baird provided an overview of the project, stating the main purpose will be to lower the profile of Pleasantville Road to remove an existing hump that severely restricts sight distance for drivers pulling off of Fisher Road onto Pleasantville Road. In addition, the plan is to slightly widen the shoulders of a portion of Pleasantville Road and widen and pull back the ditches on either side of the road

Review Session

The Board of Commissioners met to review legal issues, pending and future action items and correspondence. Commissioners present were Mike Kiger, Judith Shupe and Jon Myers. Also present were Jason Dolin and Scott Zody.

Mr. Dolin informed the Board that he had been contacted by Steve Davis, a local attorney, relative to the Bateson Beach bridge issue. Mr. Davis may be representing the residents on the East side of the bridge that are currently cut off and using a temporary access to their homes. Mr. Dolin stated the residents wish to have the bridge fixed, but need to file a motion to go before the Common Pleas Court to initiate an action to adjust the assessment that was levied several years ago in order to generate sufficient funds to rebuild the bridge. Mr. Dolin believes the County Engineer and the Commissioners will be named in the suit as they were parties in the original suit and also may need to be involved to release any remaining funds in the assessment fund. Commissioner Myers stated that as he recalls, there is not much money currently in the assessment fund, and Mr. Dolin stated that is correct, but also believes that the county has no further obligation to contribute financially based upon the original settlement.

Mr. Dolin reported that he and Mr. Zody have been working with the County Dog Warden on resolving and attack by a dog on livestock that resulted in the death of a sheep. Mr. Dolin has been researching the Revised Code to determine the proper steps for the livestock owner to pursue relative to compensation for their loss, and what if any obligations the Dog Warden has with respect to the dog.

The livestock owner wants the dog destroyed, but unless the dog owner voluntarily relinquishes the animal to the Dog Warden, the dog cannot be seized. Mr. Dolin believes the livestock owner can request compensation from either the Dog & Kennel Fund or the General Fund based upon the fair market value of the sheep, but there appears to be a \$500 cap on that amount, and the owner is claiming the sheep is worth \$750. Mr. Dolin stated the law does allow the livestock owner to pursue a civil action against the dog owner for any additional value not covered by the \$500 cap, and also allows the Commissioners to pursue restitution from the dog owner for any funds paid out of the Dog & Kennel Fund or GRF.

Commissioner Kiger stated the Board has received a letter from Buckeye Lake for Tomorrow regarding their request for a letter for support from the Commissioners to the local state legislators in conjunction with BLT's request for state capital funding for a stream improvement project.

10:00 a.m. Regular Meeting

A regular meeting of the Fairfield County Board of Commissioners was held on Tuesday, June 8, 2010 beginning at 10:00 a.m., with the following Commissioners present: Judith Shupe, Jon Myers and Mike Kiger. Also present were Patty Bratton, Holly Mattei, Frank Anderson, Jeff Camechis, Carl Burnett, Jason Dolin and Scott Zody.

Announcements

There were no announcements.

Public Comment

There were no public comments.

Approval of Minutes of Tuesday, June 1, 2010

On motion of Judith Shupe and second of Jon Myers, the Board of Commissioners voted to approve the minutes for Tuesday, June 1, 2010.

Roll call vote of the motion resulted as follows:

Voting aye thereon: Judith Shupe, Jon Myers and Mike Kiger

Approval of Authorizing the Fairfield County Board of Commissioners to be a party in the filing of a Declaratory Judgment and Action

On motion of Judith Shupe and second of Jon Myers, the Board of Commissioners voted to table authorizing the Fairfield County Board of Commissioners to be a party in the filing of a Declaratory Judgment and Action, see resolution 10-06.08.a

Commissioners Shupe stated she has some concerns relative to the issue and had driven by the property in question and was not sure where the Engineer wanted the drive relocated to and feels a viewing may be warranted.

Roll call vote of the motion resulted as follows:

Voting aye thereon: Judith Shupe, Jon Myers and Mike Kiger

Approval of Notice to Proceed and Notice of Commencement – Fairfield County Commissioners – Prosecutor & EMA renovation project

On motion of Jon Myers and second of Judith Shupe, the Board of Commissioners voted to approve a Notice to Proceed and Notice of Commencement – Fairfield County Commissioners – Prosecutor & EMA renovation project, see resolution 10-06.08.b

Roll call vote of the motion resulted as follows:

Voting aye thereon: Jon Myers, Judith Shupe and Mike Kiger

Approval of Authorizing Satisfaction of Mortgage held by the Fairfield County Board of Commissioners - RPC

On motion of Judith Shupe and second of Jon Myers, the Board of Commissioners voted to approve Authorizing Satisfaction of Mortgage held by the Fairfield County Board of Commissioners, see resolution 10-06.08.c

Roll call vote of the motion resulted as follows:

Voting aye thereon: Judith Shupe, Jon Myers and Mike Kiger

Approval of an Order of Suspension for an employee of Fairfield County Job & Family Services, Child Protective Services

On motion of Jon Myers and second of Judith Shupe, the Board of Commissioners

voted to approve an Order of Suspension for an employee of Fairfield County Job & Family Services, Child Protective Services, see resolution 10-06.08.d

Roll call vote of the motion resulted as follows:
Voting aye thereon: Jon Myers, Judith Shupe and Mike Kiger

Approval of Engineer's resolutions

On motion of Judith Shupe and second of Jon Myers, the Board of Commissioners voted to approve resolutions for the County Engineer as follows:

10-06.08.e Approval of Contract Bid Award for the GRE-39, FAI-CR17-4.075 Pleasantville Road NW over a tributary to Walnut Creek Bridge Replacement Box Culvert and Installation Project - Engineer

10-06.08.f Approval of Contract Bid Award for the FAI-CR13-1.909 Basil-Western Road Resurfacing Project - Engineer

10-06.08.g Approval of Construction Drawings for the FAI-CR6/31/55/60 Resurfacing Project - Engineer

10-06.08.h Approval of Advertising for the 2010 Resurfacing Project - Engineer

10-06.08.i Approval of Amended Certificate, update receipts, increase appropriations, account to account transfer and Fund to Fund transfer – Engineer Motor Vehicle Fund

Roll call vote of the motion resulted as follows:
Voting aye thereon: Judith Shupe, Jon Myers and Mike Kiger

Approval of Financial Resolutions

On the motion of Jon Myers and second of Judith Shupe, the Board of Commissioners voted to approve financial resolutions as follows:

10-06.08.j Approval of Account to Account Transfer – Juvenile Court

10-06.08.k Approval of Account to Account Transfer – Commissioners/Human Resources

10-06.08.l Approval of Account to Account Transfer – Commissioners/Maintenance

10-06.08.m Approval of Account to Account Transfer – FACFC

10-06.08.n Approval to Appropriate from Unappropriated – Engineer

10-06.08.o Approval to Appropriate from Unappropriated – Engineer/Ditch Maintenance

10-06.08.p Approval to Appropriate from Unappropriated – Major Crimes Unit

Roll call vote of the motion resulted as follows:
Voting aye thereon: Jon Myers, Judith Shupe and Mike Kiger

Approval of Authorization for Payment of Bills, June 8, 2010, check date June 11, 2010

On the motion of Judith Shupe and second of Jon Myers, the Board of Commissioners voted to approve the Authorization for Payment of bills, June 8, 2010, check date June 11, 2010, see resolution 10-06.08.q

Roll call vote of the motion resulted as follows:

Voting aye thereon: Judith Shupe, Jon Myers and Mike Kiger

Review Session, cont

The Board of Commissioners met to review legal issues, pending and future action items and correspondence. Commissioners present were Judith Shupe, Jon Myers and Mike Kiger. Also present were Frank Anderson, Holly Mattei, Jeff Camechis, Patty Bratton, Ed Laramee, Carl Burnett, Jason Dolin and Scott Zody.

The Board discussed the proposed resolution authorizing the Commissioners to be party to a declaratory judgment action relative to a improperly installed driveway on Cedar Hill Road. Commissioner Shupe stated she had driven by the property and was having difficulty understanding exactly where the drive should be in relation to its current location. Mr. Anderson stated his office could mark the location if need be.

Commissioner Kiger stated this is a legal question involving the violation of a deed restriction and not just a road obstruction issue. Commissioner Shupe asked what the history of the issue was, and Mr. Anderson stated the issue goes back to when the property was to be split into two lots, one of which the Steele's purchased from a relative. The agreement was that in order to approve the lot split, the Steele's had to place a deed restriction on the property to ensure the proper location of the driveway. They agreed to that, but then proceeded to install the driveway in an unacceptable location different from that in the deed restriction. Mr. Anderson added this could affect other properties that have been split under similar circumstances.

Commissioner Shupe asked what the speed limit is on Cedar Hill Road, and Mr. Anderson stated it is 55 miles per hour, and the stopping distance at the time of the deed restriction under ODOT and ASHTO standards was 450 feet. That standard has since been revised upwards to 495 feet, but the property owner is contending the sight distance for the drive is sufficient, but the Engineer contends their methodology is incorrect.

Commissioner Shupe asked where the proposed driveway is located relative to the current drive, and Mr. Anderson stated it is on the southern end of the lot, and the current drive is on the north end. Mr. Anderson acknowledged moving the drive would take some work but it was well known by the landowner that they were installing the drive at the incorrect location in violation of the deed restriction to begin with.

Mr. Anderson stated he is very concerned that if this situation is not addressed, future lot splits or agreed-to deed restriction might become meaningless. Commissioner Myers asked if the Engineer was aware of the problem when the Steele's first constructed the driveway, and Mr. Anderson stated his office became aware of the problem in 2006, and have been trying to address it since that time.

Mr. Camechis stated a similar situation occurred several years ago on Snyder Church Road, and resulted in a fatal accident due to a landowner installing a driveway in an unsafe location. Commissioner Myers asked if the Steele's drive is concrete or gravel, and Mr. Anderson stated it is a gravel drive. Mr. Camechis stated the Steele's were well aware of the restriction and simply chose to ignore it. Mr. Anderson added they had met with the Steele's at the site back in 2006-2007 and informed them the drive needed to be moved.

Commissioner Myers asked what the next step would be, and Mr. Dolin stated several letters have been sent to the Steele's with no result, and the next step would be to file a motion in court to enforce the deed. Commissioner Myers stated it appears to be a very cut & dry situation and violation of law that could effect current and future lots splits.

Approval of Authorizing the Fairfield County Board of Commissioners to be a party in the filing on a Declaratory Judgment and Injunction Action

On the motion of Jon Myers and second of Mike Kiger, the Board of Commissioners voted to remove from the table the approval of Authorizing the Fairfield County Board of Commissioners to be a party in the filing on a Declaratory Judgment and Injunction Action, see resolution 10-06.08.a

Roll call vote of the motion resulted as follows:

Voting aye thereon: Jon Myers, Mike Kiger and Judith Shupe

On the motion of Jon Myers and second of Mike Kiger, the Board of Commissioners voted to approve Authorizing the Fairfield County Board of Commissioners to be a party in the filing on a Declaratory Judgment and Injunction Action, see resolution 10-06.08.a

Commissioner Kiger stated the action is unfortunate, but the Steele's clearly knew they were in violation of the deed restriction by installing the driveway, and this is not a matter of convenience, but safety. Commissioner Myers stated safety is his main concern as well, and believes the Board should act before a serious accident or fatality occurs at the site. Commissioner Shupe stated she does not disagree with the safety concerns but believes a viewing of the situation may be warranted as she is not sure where the proper location for the drive should be. Commissioner Shupe stated she had driven by the property and believes it would be helpful if Commissioner Kiger and Commissioner Myers would do the same.

Roll call vote of the motion resulted as follows:

Voting aye thereon: Jon Myers and Mike Kiger

Voting no thereon: Judith Shupe

Review, cont.

Ms. Bratton stated she has some concerns relative to the Household Hazardous Waste (HHW) program through the Solid Waste District, and also has concerns about the potential elimination of commercial recycling programs and buy recycled program. Ms. Bratton noted that it appears to be an attack on the program Fairfield County prioritizes, such as recycling, whereas other counties in the district focus on litter prevention. Commissioner Shupe stated Commissioner Bubb is focused on reducing waste.

Ms. Bratton stated she believes such decisions should be the choice of the individual counties in the district, not the district board or district staff. Others might consider recycling less important but that is the area Fairfield County chooses to focus on. Ms. Bratton stated the recycled content purchasing will be a target, and she would rather utilize the funding for the HHW program for that and do away with HHW.

Commissioner Shupe asked if commercial recycling had been done away with, as she did not recall any specific proposals on that, and Ms. Bratton stated there is no formal proposal but she believes one will be coming soon. Commissioner Shupe stated this is one unfortunate result of Perry County Commissioners being unable to attend Finance Committee meetings.

Commissioner Myers asked if the county should proceed with the HHW program, and Ms. Bratton stated for now they should, because she has been given to understand that the dollars may no be used for other programs, but she would like to see that change. Commissioner Myers asked about the recent prescription drug event, and Ms. Bratton stated that was a different event and not funded through the solid waste district.

Mr. Zody asked how the new light bulbs would be handled, as they contain hazardous materials, and Ms. Bratton stated the new bulbs contain mercury, and they will likely see more and more of those brought to HHW days, but is unsure how they are classified.

Commissioner Shupe asked if there would be a HHW event in Fairfield County in 2010, and Ms. Bratton stated this year is Coshocton and Licking County, and 2011 would be Fairfield and Perry. Commissioner Shupe asked if the district is differentiating between

“non-profit” commercial recycling and private commercial recycling, and Ms. Bratton stated it is tough even for private companies to recycle, particularly cardboard, but Ms. Phillips seems determined to reduce the number of eligible participants and effectively eliminate the program.

Mr. Zody provided the Board with a copy of the CORSA claims notice of a settlement in the Christian Gibby case, stating that this was the first he was aware of any settlement, and has also forwarded the information to Mr. Orlando.

Regarding a recent livestock/dog attack in which a dog attacked and killed a neighbor's sheep, Commissioner Kiger stated that he had received a call from a relative of the owner of the sheep, and Commissioner Shupe stated she had also received a call about the incident. Mr. Zody stated he had spoken with the owner's wife and Deputy Dog Warden Bobbie Glass, who had responded to the incident. Mr. Dolin stated he had spoken with the owner, and has reviewed the Revised Code relative to the incident and possible resulting claim. Mr. Zody stated he would contact Ms. Glass for clarification, as there appears to be some differences of interpretation, but clearly the Code does not permit the Dog Warden to seize and destroy the dog in this matter.

The Board discussed a copy of a letter received from the Hocking Township Trustees relative to an ongoing conflict over Stonewall Cemetery Road, in which the trustees informed a resident on the road that they did not intend to maintain that portion of the road, as the resident had alternative access. Commissioner Kiger asked if the trustees were attempting to force the resident to utilize Stepath Mound Road, and Mr. Zody stated he was not sure, but it certainly appeared to be the intent. Mr. Dolin stated that he had participated in a meeting with Trustee Gail Ellinger and the Engineer's Office the previous week, after the writing of the letter, and he was under the impression that the township had agreed to conduct minimal maintenance to the road. Mr. Zody stated he had spoken with Ms. Ellinger late last week and did not receive the same impression.

Commissioner Kiger asked if there was anything for the Commissioners to do, and Mr. Dolin stated not at this time – it depends upon what actions the Trustees decide to take. Commissioner Kiger stated he would like to have some clear direction in the event the resident calls to express any concerns, and Commissioner Myers stated it appears that some legal action may be required. Mr. Dolin concurred, and stated that the Prosecutor's Office had provided the Trustees with a legal opinion relative to the road, and if they become involved in litigation, the Prosecutor's Office would likely refer the township to special counsel.

11:00 a.m. – Public Hearing – Pleasantville/Fisher Road Profile Improvement Project

The Board of Commissioners met to conduct a public hearing on the proposed Pleasantville/Fisher Road Profile Improvement Project. Commissioners present were Judith Shupe, Jon Myers and Mike Kiger. Also present were Jeff Baird, Bob Miller, James Clark, Carl Burnett, Jason Dolin and Scott Zody.

Mr. Baird provided an overview of the project, stating the purpose of the project is to correct sight distance issues with the Pleasantville/Fisher Road intersection and widen the shoulders and ditches along Pleasantville Road.

Commissioner Kiger asked what the duration of the project would be, and Mr. Baird stated the project should last between 4 and 8 weeks. Commissioner Myers asked if there would be any takings required, and Mr. Baird stated there will need to be some strips of right-of-way acquired, but he does not anticipate any large takes.

Commissioner Myers asked when the project would be constructed, and Mr. Baird stated it depended upon when funding would be available, but he anticipated construction in 2011 or 2012. Commissioner Myers asked if it was usual practice to hold viewings and hearings prior to construction documents being finalized, and Mr.

Baird stated he likes to hold the viewings and hearings prior to investing a lot of time and money in designs, as the viewings and hearings can help tweak the project.

Bob Miller, 1723 Pleasantville Road, Baltimore, spoke, stating that he wanted to better understand the project and the potential impacts to his property.

James Clark, 1665 Pleasantville Road, Baltimore stated he is also concerned with a potential loss of property and the lack of plans and would like to see additional information on the project.

Mr. Baird stated he would stay after the meeting to discuss any issues the landowners might have.

Commissioner Myers asked the landowners if they were having issues with sight distance, and Mr. Miller stated it is such a problem that he and his neighbor, who shares his driveway, paid to have the end of their drive blacktopped so they could pull out safely drain to take water off the lot and help alleviate that problem. Commissioner Myers asked if the large tree he noticed at the viewing was on Mr. Miller's property, and Mr. Miller stated his property is two lots down from the tree.

Mr. Clark stated there is a swale in the road that creates a sight distance problem to the East, and asked if that was being addressed in this project, and Mr. Baird stated he did not believe so.

Mr. Miller asked if any driveways or road access points would be changed under the project, and Mr. Baird stated there would be no driveway relocations or changes to access points.

On the motion of Jon Myers and second of Judith Shupe, the Board of Commissioners voted to close the hearing.

Roll call vote of the motion resulted as follows:

Voting aye thereon: Jon Myers, Judith Shupe and Mike Kiger

11:30 a.m. Meeting with Aundrea Cordle, Dave Miller

The Board of Commissioners met with county Human Resource Director Aundrea Cordle and David Miller to discuss county health insurance premiums. Commissioners present were Judith Shupe, Jon Myers and Mike Kiger. Also present were Ed Laramee, Carl Burnett, Jon Slater, Jason Dolin and Scott Zody

Ms. Cordle stated she had met with Franklin County recently and they are in the process of preparing the numbers for the 2011 health insurance premiums. Those numbers should be finalized sometime in August.

Ms. Cordle stated some major changes are anticipated – the benefit plan changes that were being considered by Franklin County held up the process last year, but the recently completed Dependent Audit was beneficial, identifying many ineligible dependents, particularly in Franklin County. In addition, increasing some of the co-pays, particularly for emergency room visits, helped reduce utilization, resulting in a total cost savings of approximately \$2.3 million.

Ms. Cordle reported that the new Federal Health Care bill will have a very serious negative impact upon premiums. The provision that increases the age of dependent children eligible for coverage to 26 will potentially add 570 individuals at a cost of over \$2,000,000 per year.

Commissioner Kiger asked what would happen if Ohio is able to exempt itself from the bill, and Ms. Cordle stated she was not sure. Ms. Cordle added that certain very large claims last year will hurt Fairfield County's experience – in 2009 there were 6 claims of

over \$300,000, and 1 claim that was over \$1,000,000 and one other claim that was \$900,000.

Ms. Cordle noted the reserve fund for the county is down due to the 2009 claims, and the estimate is for a break even on 2010 contributions, but there may be a need to increase the reserve in 2011. Commissioner Shupe asked who would make the decision relative to the reserve contribution, and Ms. Cordle stated Franklin County, since they are the largest member.

Ms. Cordle provided the Board with premium estimates prepared by CBIZ, noting that under the two options premiums are projected to increase between 15.7% and 16.1%.

Mr. Laramee stated that is the most significant projected increase he can recall and with the savings Ms. Cordle described that have already been identified, he is not sure there is anywhere else to gain major savings. Commissioner Myers noted the private sector is still paying a greater portion of their health care costs that public employees.

Mr. Miller stated that he believes the final numbers will likely be close to these projections. Commissioner Myers asked if co-pays could be adjusted, and Ms. Cordle stated many co-pays had just been adjusted for 2010, and Franklin County is fighting with its public employee unions, because they want benefits and co-pays to be part of their collective bargaining agreement. She stated there may be a discussion relative to co-pays but doubts there will be any changes.

Mr. Laramee stated if the contract is a multi-year contract it is very doubtful any changes would occur. Ms. Cordle stated the increase in premiums is a combination of rates going up as well as the experience. Commissioner Myers asked if the county should consider opting out, and Mr. Laramee stated there is a 30-day notice required. Commissioner Myers asked if the county should be looking at the market, and Mr. Laramee stated he believes the consortium is still one of the best plans around and is also one of the better-run plans. Mr. Laramee stated he would be concerned with adding costs to the system but the numbers and contracts are very well negotiated and the size of the consortium helps.

Commissioner Myers asked how the union contracts affect the contribution, and Mr. Laramee stated Franklin County has a phased-in agreement for their employees to pay a greater percentage of their health care premiums. Commissioner Myers asked what the county has budgeted for premiums, and Mr. Miller stated there is nothing budgeted currently in the guidance they have received.

Mr. Slater stated the county is very comparable with the City of Lancaster's plan when comparing benefits, but they are paying much more for their coverage. Mr. Laramee noted the Federal Health Care bill will have additional adverse monetary impacts as it is implemented.

Ms. Cordle stated the good news is that Fairfield County will remain in the same tier as last year so there will be no increase there. Commissioner Myers noted there may even be some changes to the Federal bill before it is fully implemented. Commissioner Shupe asked if there is any way to estimate the cost if the dependent age had not been increased, and Ms. Cordle stated she knows that the total increase to all members of the consortium is estimated to be \$2,000,000.

Commissioner Myers stated the Board would need to get with Ms. Knisley to discuss the budget ramifications. Ms. Cordle stated the reserve may cost additional funds, and Mr. Laramee stated he believes it would not be more than 1-2%, and the dependent coverage may not be as significant as currently estimated. Ms. Cordle stated the dependent expansion is based on the total number of eligible dependents (570) and assumes all will participate.

Mr. Slater stated he is already hearing about concerns from employees regarding the impacts and concern for increasing costs while salaries remain flat. Mr. Laramee stated there will likely be changes coming. Commissioner Myers noted if the economy does not improve, the standard of living is going to decline.

Mr. Laramee noted the provider costs are increasing as well and everyone will have to pay more. Commissioner Myers stated the other alternative is to reduce services or benefits.

Commissioner Kiger asked Mr. Miller to transmit the numbers to Ms. Krisley so she can plan for budget purposes.

Ms. Cordle stated that she would like to discuss a MUNIS issue with the Board while all were present. She would like to obtain and utilize a self-serve module that would allow employees to be more self-reliant and efficient.

Ms. Cordle stated she has been working with Beth Seifert at FCBDD and they are interested in participating and contributing to the cost of the software as well. Ms. Cordle has approached Mr. Orlando and JFS is willing to provide \$9,000 of the cost as well. The Auditor would actually purchase the program, as it is part of MUNIS. Ms. Cordle stated the proposed contribution from JFS would come by reducing the JFS General Fund allocation by \$9,000 and transferring those funds to the Auditor to purchase the module, resulting in no increase in net costs to the General Fund.

Mr. Laramee noted that access has recently been increased for users on MUNIS, and is now unlimited so this change can easily be accommodated. Commissioners Myers asked what exactly the system would do, and Ms. Cordle stated it would allow individual employees to enter time sheet data electronically and also process leave notices electronically. This would save a lot of data entry time.

Mr. Laramee stated an example would be an employee could go online and change beneficiaries instead of having to go through the HR office. Payroll changes would be another example. Ms. Cordle stated for HR purposes this would be something very valuable for efficiency.

Commissioner Myers asked when the county would be done paying the initial capital costs for MUNIS, and Mr. Miller stated the payments are through 2011. Mr. Slater stated he has found MUNIS has helped some of his employees save time, particularly with bank activities. Mr. Laramee stated this would implement 65-70% of the MUNIS system, and the benefit of reconciliation with the banks would be a huge benefit for the Auditor and Treasurer.

Commissioner Myers asked for example if an employee enters their own hours worked, how is a supervisor to know, and Ms. Cordle stated the supervisor must still approve what is entered into the system.

Mr. Laramee stated the Auditor and JFS are a microcosm of users for MUNIS and they would both see more benefits from the system. Ms. Cordle stated it would be much the same with FCBDD.

Commissioner Shupe asked what the timeframe would be, and Ms. Cordle stated sooner would be better, as Randy Carter would like to purchase the software. If the Commissioners agree to reduce the JFS allocation and transfer it to the Auditor's MUNIS fund, that would expedite matters.

Mr. Zody asked what the total cost of the system/software would be, and Mr. Miller stated he would provide that information. Commissioner Kiger stated that technically there is no increased cost to the General Fund, and Ms. Cordle stated there would be no additional cost.

Mr. Zody asked what the annual payments to MUNIS are, and Mr. Miller stated they consist of quarterly payments of \$48,000, or nearly \$250,000 per year, but after those payments cease, there will still be approximately \$100,000 per year in maintenance charges.

Review, cont. – 11:50 a.m.

Commissioner Kiger stated that he wanted to discuss with the Board Michael Orlando's request for time off over the next several weeks, as he is concerned that approving that would be inconsistent with the way the Commissioners treat other department heads or for that matter, how department heads treat their own employees. Commissioner Myers stated there was a concern involving Mr. Vogel on a couple of absences, but is he currently turning in his paperwork every time? Commissioner Kiger stated most of the time Mr. Vogel is getting his paperwork in ahead of time, and understands there can be times when emergencies come up, but Mr. Orlando is wanting a blank slate to take hours or days off depending on the weather to paint his house, and that is a concern – how will the Commissioners know when he is in or out?

Commissioner Kiger also stated that Mr. Orlando and Ms. Cordle should both be submitting leave request forms to the Commissioners, since they are both department heads. Commissioner Shupe stated she did not really see a reason to do that, and Commissioner Myers noted that supervisors can work varying hours.

Commissioner Kiger stated that he believes the Board needs to be consistent with all supervisors and JFS is no exception and should be consistent from the bottom up. The Board agreed to have all department heads submit leave requests to the Commissioner's Office for approval.

Utilities Director Tony Vogel joined the meeting in progress to discuss a possible water leak in the Courthouse. Commissioner Myers stated the seepage in the Hearing Room appears to be getting worse, and asked Mr. Vogel if he would be looking into fixing the problem. Mr. Vogel stated he has a consultant scheduled to come in and look at it and try to determine the cause. Commissioner Kiger stated it appears the water is seeping in through the sandstone blocks, and suggested exploring application of a silicon substance on the exterior of the building to try to alleviate the problem, and Mr. Vogel stated he would explore options once the cause of the water leak is identified.

Adjournment

With no further business to come before the Board, on the motion of Judith Shupe and the second of Jon Myers, the Board of Commissioners voted to adjourn at 12:15 a.m.

Roll call vote on the motion resulted as follows:

Voting aye thereon: Judith Shupe, Jon Myers and Mike Kiger

Mike Kiger
Commissioner

Jon D. Myers
Commissioner

Judith K. Shupe
Commissioner

Scott Zody, Clerk/Manager

**THE NEXT REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS' IS
SCHEDULED FOR TUESDAY, JUNE 15, 2010 AT 10:00 A.M.**