

**Regular Meeting #07-06
Fairfield County Commissioners' Office
February 6, 2007**

Viewing , Pleasantville Road Improvements

At 9:00 a.m., the Board of Commissioners met with Jeff Baird, representative of the County Engineer's office, two township representatives, and several interested property owners for the public viewing of Pleasantville Road improvements, as noted in the Board of Commissioners' resolution 06-11.28.d. Commissioners attending the viewing were Mike Kiger, Judith K. Shupe, and Jon D. Myers.

Regular Meeting

A regular meeting of the Fairfield County Board of Commissioners was held on Tuesday, February 6, 2007, beginning at 10:00 a.m., with the following Commissioners present: Mike Kiger, Jon D. Myers, and Judith K. Shupe. Also present were: Carri L. Brown, Mary-jane Gard, Don Sherman, Michele Thomas, and Mary Beth Lane.

Announcements and Public Comment

Mary-jane Gard offered thanks to the Board of Commissioners for lowering the adoption fee for dogs adopted by rescue groups. She appreciated this decision and wanted to offer positive feedback.

Approval of Minutes of Tuesday, January 30, 2007

On motion of Judith K. Shupe and second of Jon D. Myers, the Board of Commissioners voted to approve the minutes of January 30, 2007.

Roll call vote of the motion resulted as follows:

Voting aye thereon: Judith K. Shupe, Jon D. Myers, and Mike Kiger.

Approval of the construction drawings for the Thornville over Tributary to Little Rush Creek Bridge Replacement Project – Fairfield County Engineer

On motion of Jon D. Myers, and second of Judith K. Shupe, the Board of Commissioners voted to approve the construction drawings for the Thornville over Tributary to Little Rush Creek Bridge Replacement Project – Fairfield County Engineer, see resolution 07-02.06.a.

Roll call vote of the motion resulted as follows:

Voting aye thereon: Jon D. Myers, Judith K. Shupe, and Mike Kiger.

Approval of Sanitary Sewer Agreement with the City of Lancaster – Fairfield County Utilities

On motion of Jon D. Myers, and second of Judith K. Shupe, the Board of Commissioners voted to approve a Sanitary Sewer Agreement with the City of Lancaster – see resolution 07-02.06.b.

Roll call vote of the motion resulted as follows:

Voting aye thereon: Jon D. Myers, Judith K. Shupe, and Mike Kiger.

Approval of Development Agreement for the Violet Meadows Section 4, Phase 2 Subdivision – Fairfield County Regional Planning

On motion of Judith K. Shupe and second of Jon D. Myers, the Board of Commissioners voted to approve the Development Agreement for the Violet Meadows Section 4, Phase 2 Subdivision – Fairfield County Regional Planning – see resolution 07-02.06.c.

Roll call vote of the motion resulted as follows:

Voting aye thereon: Judith K. Shupe, Jon D. Myers, and Mike Kiger.

Approval of the authorization to appoint a single point of contact for data gathering for county responsibilities for U.S. Census, Board of County Commissioners – GIS Manager

On motion of Judith K. Shupe and second of Jon D. Myers, the Board of Commissioners voted to approve the authorization to appoint a single point of contact for data gathering for county responsibilities for U.S. Census, Board of County Commissioners – GIS Manager - see resolution 07-02.06.d.

Roll call vote of the motion resulted as follows:

Voting aye thereon: Judith K. Shupe, Jon D. Myers, and Mike Kiger.

Approval of the commitment of funds for the operation of the joint venture of the Juvenile Detention District, 2007 budget period and ensuing periods -General Fund-Fairfield County Commissioners

On motion of Jon D. Myers and second of Judith K. Shupe, the Board of Commissioners voted to approve the commitment of funds for the operation of the joint venture of the Juvenile Detention District, 2007 budget period and ensuing periods – General Fund-Fairfield County Commissioners – see resolution 07-02.06.e.

Jon D. Myers commented that the resolution would confirm the county commitment of funds to continue operations of the joint venture.

Roll call vote of the motion resulted as follows:

Voting aye thereon: Jon D. Myers, Judith K. Shupe, and Mike Kiger.

Approval of the update to the Community Housing Improvement Strategy (CHIS) S-2 Table completed February 6, 2007 – Fairfield County Community Action

On motion of Judith K. Shupe and second of Jon D. Myers , the Board of Commissioners voted to approve the update to the Community Housing Improvement Strategy (CHIS) S-2 Table completed February 6, 2007 – Fairfield County Community Action - see resolution 07-02.06.f.

Roll call vote of the motion resulted as follows:

Voting aye thereon: Judith K. Shupe, Jon D. Myers, and Mike Kiger.

Approval of Deposit of Public Funds by the Board of County Commissioners –Economic Development Administration Revolving Loan Fund program – Fairfield National Bank

On motion of Judith K. Shupe and second of Jon D. Myers , the Board of Commissioners voted to approve the Deposit of Public Funds by the Board of County Commissioners – Economic Development Administration Revolving Loan Fund program – Fairfield National Bank – see resolution 07-02.06.g.

Roll call vote of the motion resulted as follows:

Voting aye thereon: Judith K. Shupe, Jon D. Myers, and Mike Kiger.

Approval of various financial related resolutions

On motion of Jon D. Myers and second of Judith K. Shupe, the Board of Commissioners voted to approve several financial related resolutions, noted below with the specific resolution number.

Approval of appropriations from unappropriated funds – Fairfield County Engineer Fund # 2024 (07-02.06.h)

Approval of appropriations from unappropriated funds – Fairfield County Engineer Fund # 2024 (07-02.06.i)

Approval of account to account transfer – Fairfield County Utilities (07-02.06.j)

Approval of appropriations from unappropriated – Fairfield County Commissioners/Real Estate Tax (07-02.06.k)

Approval of account to account transfer – Fairfield County Commissioners
(07-02.06.l)

Approval of appropriations from unappropriated & account to account transfers –
Fairfield County Airport/Real Estate Tax (07-02.06.m)

Roll call vote of the motion resulted as follows:

Voting aye thereon: Jon D. Myers, Judith K. Shupe, and Mike Kiger.

Approval of a new grant fund for Environmental Affairs for the 2007 CFLP Grant – Fairfield County Community Action

On motion of Judith K. Shupe and second of Jon D. Myers , the Board of Commissioners voted to approve a new grant fund for Environmental Affairs for the 2007 CFLP Grant Fairfield County Community Action - see resolution 07-02.06.n.

Roll call vote of the motion resulted as follows:

Voting aye thereon: Judith K. Shupe, Jon D. Myers, and Mike Kiger.

Approval of repayment of an advance to the General Fund – FY05 Fund # 2660 HOME Housing Improvement and approval of the repayment of an advance to the General fund – FY05 Fund # 2661 HTF Housing

On motion of Judith K. Shupe and second of Jon D. Myers, the Board of Commissioners voted to approve the repayment of an advance to the General Revenue Fund from HOME Housing Improvement – see resolution 07-02.06.o – & to approve the repayment of an advance to the General fund – FY05 Fund # 2661 HTF Housing, see resolution 07-02.06.p.

Roll call vote of the motion resulted as follows:

Voting aye thereon: Judith K. Shupe, Jon D. Myers, and Mike Kiger.

Approval of fund to fund transfers – General Fund Allocations to Fairfield County Historical Parks & Family Adult Children First Council

On motion of Judith K. Shupe and second of Jon D. Myers, the Board voted to approve fund to fund transfers – the General Fund Allocation to Fairfield County Historical Parks & to the Family Adult Children and Family First Council- See resolution 07-02.06.q.

Roll call vote of the motion resulted as follows:

Voting aye thereon: Judith K. Shupe, Jon D. Myers, and Mike Kiger.

Approval of fund to fund transfer – General Fund Allocation to Fairfield County Emergency Management Agency

On motion of Jon D. Myers and second of Judith K. Shupe, the Board voted to approve a fund to fund transfer – General Fund Allocation to Fairfield County Emergency Management Agency, see resolution 07-02.06.r.

Roll call vote of the motion resulted as follows:

Voting aye thereon: Jon D. Myers, Judith K. Shupe, and Mike Kiger.

Approval of memo expenditure – Fund # 2060 – Fairfield County Mental Retardation & Developmental Disabilities and approval of a memo expenditure – Fairfield County Job & Family Services

On motion of Judith K. Shupe and second of Jon D. Myers, the Board voted to approve a memo expenditure – Fund # 2060 – Fairfield County Mental Retardation & Developmental Disabilities, see resolution 07-02.06.s, and to approve a memo expenditure – Fairfield County Job & Family Services, see resolution 07-02.06.t.

Roll call vote of the motion resulted as follows:

Voting aye thereon: Judith K. Shupe, Jon D. Myers, and Mike Kiger.

Approval of various financial related resolutions

On motion of Jon D. Myers and second of Judith K. Shupe, the Board voted to approve various financial related resolutions, as noted below with the resolution numbers.

Approval to ratify previously approved resolution – payment of an invoice without a carryover purchase order – Fairfield County ADAMH Board, 07-02.06.u

Approval of payment of voucher without an appropriate carryover purchase order – Fairfield County Utilities, 07-02.06.v

Approval of payment of invoices without appropriate carryover purchase order – Fairfield County Commissioners, 07-02.06.w

Approval of attached requests for payments of vouchers without appropriate carryover purchase orders – Fairfield County Sheriff, 07-02.06.x

Approval of attached requests for payments of vouchers without appropriate carryover purchase orders – Fairfield County ADAMH Board, 07-02.06.y

Approval of payment of vouchers without appropriate purchase orders – Fairfield County Job & Family Services, 07-02.06.z

Roll call vote of the motion resulted as follows:

Voting aye thereon: Jon D. Myers, Judith K. Shupe, and Mike Kiger.

Approval of the payment of bills, with a check date of February 9, 2007

On motion of Judith K. Shupe and second of Jon D. Myers, the Board voted to approve the payment of bills, check date – February 9, 2007, see resolution 07-02.06.aa.

Roll call vote of the motion resulted as follows:

Voting aye thereon: Judith K. Shupe, Jon D. Myers, and Mike Kiger.

Review Session

The Board of Commissioners met at 10:20 a.m. to review correspondence, pending items, and future action items. Present at the review session were Mike Kiger, Jon Myers, Judith Shupe, Michele Thomas, and Carri Brown.

Employees of the Dog Shelter sent appreciation to the Board of Commissioners for the support to purchase a safe truck.

The State of the County Address is confirmed as March 13. The address will be held at Liberty Center.

After discussion about the connection of economic development with the maintenance of existing county parks, the Board of Commissioners voted to allocate \$35,000 to the Park District in order for the District to meet its immediate needs to purchase a safe truck and to fix the septic system at the Hansel Preserve. The Board also requests that the Park District conduct an analysis about the current and future use of the Hansel Preserve property and share the specific information with the Board of Commissioners. The Board of Commissioners is not prepared to accept full financial responsibility of the Park District operations, but the Board did recognize the immediate needs of the District, in order to maintain current operations.

On motion of Judith K. Shupe and second of Jon D. Myers, the Board voted to approve an allocation of \$35,000 to the Park District. See resolution 07-02.06.bb.

Roll call vote of the motion resulted as follows:

Voting aye thereon: Judith K. Shupe, Jon D. Myers, and Mike Kiger.

11:00 a.m. Public Hearing, Pleasantville Road Improvements

Attending the public hearing for the Pleasantville Road Improvements were Mike Kiger, Jon D. Myers, Judith K., Shupe, Carri L. Brown, Jeff Baird, Michele Thomas, Michael Ayers, Carlene Ayers, and Carla King (Russell).

Carri Brown read the text of resolution 06-11.28.d. which established the viewing and public hearing regarding the improvements for Pleasantville Road CR 17 in the area of Greenfield Township, sections 3 and 4 and Liberty Township, Sections 33 and 44, to establish necessary right-of-way for road improvement.

Jeff Baird reported that the project would be funded in part by federal funds, which resulted in the need for the viewing and hearing today, even though the project was not expected to be in progress until 2012. Jeff Baird explained that the purpose of the project was to improve the safety of the road.

Michael Ayers commented that while he approved of the improvements to the road, he and his wife wanted to be kept informed of the changes as the project progressed. He recounted a time when (in another project) he was not kept informed, and there were surprises which resulted in costs that he had to bear. He was required to put in a culvert in order to get around guardrail that was placed in an area that blocked his passageway to land he needed to mow. Mr. Ayers reported that he was in favor of the road corrections, and he was in favor of even more corrections eastward – but he did want to be informed along the way.

Jeff Baird asked if Mr. Ayers received a notice of the survey, and Mr. Ayers responded that he did receive such notice. Mr. Baird provided his business card to Mr. Ayers, Mrs. Ayers, and Ms. King (Russell), the property owners at the hearing.

Ms. Carla King (Russell) asked what portion of Election House Road would specifically be impacted and how would that portion of the road be impacted, and Mr. Baird responded that additional surveying would need to be accomplished to specifically answer the question. Mr. Baird reported that the goal of the project was to improve the safety of the road, to keep property owners informed, and in the end, hopefully have satisfied property owners.

Mr. and Mrs. Ayers collectively suggested additional signage for the area in the interim. Jeff Baird reported on the symbol signs that were in use and the fact that sometimes too many signs resulted in travelers ignoring signs. Because of this, signs are carefully reviewed prior to being added to an area. Mr. Baird stated that he would consider the suggestion.

Mr. Baird, Mr. and Mrs. Ayers, and Ms. King (Russell) continued to talk about the details of the project.

With no additional comment for the public hearing, Judith K. Shupe made a motion to close the hearing. Jon D. Myers seconded the motion.

Roll call vote of the motion resulted as follows:

Voting aye thereon: Jon D. Myers, Judith K. Shupe, and Mike Kiger.

11:30 a.m. Meeting with Mr. Dehlendorf and Company regarding Sagamore Pond

Attending the meeting were: Commissioners Kiger, Shupe, and Myers, Carri Brown, Roy Hart, Frank Anderson, Eric McCrady, Tom May, Brooks Davis, and Mike Dehlendorf.

Mike Dehlendorf thanked the Board of Commissioners for taking the time to hear his concerns. Mike Kiger commented that the Board of Commissioners had no real authority regarding the actions of the Regional Planning Commission, and Mike Dehlendorf responded that he was aware of this, but he desired an opportunity to be heard.

Mr. Dehlendorf commented that he had been in business for many years, and he had never encountered a situation as frustrating as the current situation regarding Sagamore Pond. Mr. Dehlendorf reported that he had a meeting with the County Engineer on December 13, and he was not informed of the planned action to issue a letter of credit on December 14. Had he been so informed, he would have attended the Board of Commissioners' public hearing and would have aggressively argued against the issuance of the letter of credit. He reported that he viewed the letter of credit as a "black mark" against his business. Mr. Dehlendorf cited the code of ethics for a professional regional planning association, stating that he believed he should have been specifically notified of the December 14 action.

Mr. Dehlendorf reported that he believed the whole problem could have been avoided if there would have been an inspection. There was a requirement for the design to include a 7 foot shoulder, and the plans appeared to be in compliance with the county standards, yet the 7 foot ditch was not specifically noted. The designer (consultant engineer) did not note the dimensions in detail, and the Engineer approved the plans, with the plans noting that they would conform to the subdivision regulations. Mr. Dehlendorf questioned the usefulness of the approval process, if the Engineer did not take notice of the lack of the 7 foot requirement.

Mr. Dehlendorf compared the Sagamore Pond project with other projects, such as the Darcy Road project, commenting that the Darcy Road project was "in far worse shape" than the Sagamore Pond project. Mr. Dehlendorf estimated the cost of the correction to be about \$21,000.

However, because Mr. Dehlendorf would not be able to use dirt that he expected to be able to use, he would now be required to haul dirt from another area, and the costs for the correction are increasing beyond his original estimate.

Mr. Dehlendorf read from a facsimile transmittal from Frank Anderson's office that included the language: "All technical details remain the responsibility of the engineer preparing the plan." With this reading, he was indicating the technical details were the responsibility of the design engineer.

Jon D. Myers asked about the reasoning for the amount of the \$49,000 letter of credit. Brooks Davis responded that a letter of credit process allowed up to \$50,000 without additional processes. The \$49,000 was chosen because it was not yet determined the exact amount needed for the correction yet it was thought that \$49,000 would cover the project overall.

Frank Anderson commented that one cannot compare an old project like the Darcy Road project to a current project. With a current project, current subdivision regulations are required to be met. There is a big difference between maintenance of an existing ditch or road and the creation of a new project. Frank Anderson commented that the half section improvement was pointed out in preliminary plan documents in 2004.

Frank Anderson reported that the plans were required to be made in accordance with current subdivision regulations. The plans did not include a specific dimension for the shoulder, and therefore, the Engineer did not realize that the plans would have been out of compliance with subdivision regulations. The plans did not indicate a request for a variance.

Judy Shupe asked how much it would cost to correct the project. Mr. Dehlendorf believed the correction would cost about \$21,000. Judy asked if Violet Township was willing to share in the cost for the correction. Frank Anderson reported that he did not know if Violet Township would be willing to share in the costs, and at one time the trustees had indicated that they would not commit funds. Mr. Dehlendorf noted that at one time, the township stated that they would share in the resolution of the problem.

Mr. Dehlendorf commented that there was a misconception about developers having large cash reserves, and he was simply looking for some financial relief with the situation.

Mike Kiger asked if there was any new information brought to light today, as compared to the meeting held in January. There was no new information, except Mr. May commented that he had met with the developer regarding an easement and that he advised to go ahead and build pursuant to the plans; he did not provide specific information about the depth requirements at that time.

Mr. McCrady noted that Mr. May worked under the supervision of professional engineers, correcting Mr. Dehlendorf who believed Mr. May was an engineer who provided the go ahead to begin construction.

Mike Kiger asked what would happen with the letter of credit once the project was completed. Brooks Davis responded that once the project was completed and corrected for safety, the letter of credit would be returned.

Mr. Dehlendorf commented that he, again, believed he should have been informed of the December 14 action on December 13 and that he did not know the purpose of the Engineer review if errors were not caught and plans were then revised. He noted that it is usual for plans to be revised. Frank Anderson commented that the designs were the responsibility of the design engineer and that noting the compliance with subdivision regulations was correct. In the absence of dimensions, there is no specific error to be caught.

Brooks Davis reported that on December 5th, Mr. Dehlendorf was contacted via a certified letter regarding the pending letter of credit action. A developer must comply with the development agreement and if that compliance is not met, a letter of credit may be drawn. The main concern in the project was the safety of the area. For this reason, to help ensure safety, the letter of credit was drawn.

Mike Kiger asked if there was now any new information brought to light. Roy Hart responded that there was really no new information.

Judy Shupe commented that with no new information, the situation was essentially one of civil litigation. She suggested that the three parties of Dehlendorf and Company, the County Engineer and the township consider a negotiated agreement.

With no additional comments, Mike Kiger closed the meeting.

1:00 p.m. Meeting with Alan Gahler, OSU Extension Update

Alan Gahler was not able to make it to the meeting, and Cora French-Robinson came to the meeting with the Board. Attending were Cora French-Robinson, Commissioners Kiger, Shupe, and Myers, and Carri Brown.

Ms. French-Robinson reported on “National Saves Week” and the OSU extension efforts to motivate community residents to save and build wealth. Five area banks are working with OSU extension to offer savings accounts without charge. OSU Extension is offering classes to help people develop saving strategies. OSU Extension is also able to conduct lunchtime presentations for employees of the county or businesses. There will be a proclamation made by the Board of Commissioners in support of Fairfield County Saves Week, February 25 – March 4.

1:30 p.m. Meeting with Eileen Austria, District Director for Congressman Hobson

Present at the update from Congressman Hobson's office were Eileen Austria, Bob Clark, Carri Brown, and Commissioners Kiger, Shupe and Myers. Judy Shupe provided a general update of the 33 works initiatives. Jon Myers asked about potential federal funding for road improvements to connect 22 and 33. A committee from Fairfield Medical Center has approached Congressman Hobson's office about this project. Eileen Austria and Bob Clark will keep the county informed of any new information that develops.

Mike Kiger asked if there was any news about potential ethanol plants. A general discussion ensued about potential benefits and disadvantages of ethanol production. Ms. Austria believed that the state as a whole would be seeing more ethanol production.

Ms. Austria also recommended that the Greene County and Montgomery County models to obtain resources for a public safety facility be reviewed.

Ms. Austria also believed that the jobs at Rickenbacker would continue to be a good source of jobs for Fairfield County residents, as many Fairfield County applicants are now seeking jobs in that area.

Bob Clark encouraged the county to maintain diligence on the Carroll interchange to help with economic development in the area. He suggested that this information be shared with the County Engineer, noting the project as a priority.

2:15 p.m. Work Session for the State of the County Address

The Board of Commissioners met with Carri Brown for a quick review. Attending were Commissioners Kiger, Shupe, and Myers and Carri Brown. An outline for the State of the County Address was initiated. The state of address will be held during "Sunshine Week". Additional brainstorming and work sessions will be required prior to the address.

2:30 p.m. Meeting with Judge Williams, Michael Orlando, and The Board of Commissioners

A meeting was held to review Children Services funding. Attending were Commissioners Kiger, Shupe and Myers, Judge Williams, Michael Orlando and Carri Brown. Michael Orlando reported that 50% of the referrals made regarding abused and neglected children were not investigated due to lack of resources. Foster Care placement costs were rising, and this made the job of addressing referrals even more difficult, because there were even fewer resources available.

Michael Orlando provided a slide show print out that explained the historical perspectives of the number of referrals and the percentage of referrals that were able to be investigated. In 2006, 45% of referrals were investigated, as compared to 60% in 2002. In addition, referrals have grown to 3,784 annually in 2006, as compared to 2,820 in 2002.

The slide show presentation also noted that the per capita spending for child protection averaged \$44 in the state of Ohio. Fairfield County's per capita spending is \$24, \$20 below the state per capita spending average.

Protective placement expenses in 2006 were \$1.3 M. Mike Kiger asked about the additional approximate \$300,000 approved for placement costs at the budget retreat in 2006. Michael Orlando responded that with the additional investment, spending for placement is now on track to exceed \$1.6 M and that the agency is required to remove children from foster care placement, even when that is the preferred option for the safety of the child. The agency is taking children out of foster care placement in order to keep within budget parameters.

Jon Myers asked about the referrals – were all of the same category? Michael Orlando responded that there was a complex system of rating the referrals in accordance with federal and state standards.

The Children Services strategic plan includes a three-pronged approach with the long-term options of: 1.) Reducing protective placement costs, 2.) Acquiring grants and charitable gifts, and 3.) Working toward the passage of the child protection levy.

Judge Williams reported that he has worked as hard as he can to reduce and limit foster care placements. Many times, he has been uncomfortable with having to limit foster care placements, yet he was being as conservative as possible. Judge Williams stated that he wanted the Board of Commissioners to realize their shared responsibility in protecting children and that he was "lit on fire" upon his attendance at a recent symposium. At the symposium, he realized that our county is accomplishing some very good work, with initiatives like the Drug Court, Family Court, and various grant supported projects, but that we need to do more in order to address the problem as soon as possible in the life of a child. To the extent that the problems of abuse and neglect could be addressed with young children, this is how future expenses within the courts and public safety systems, in terms of juvenile and adult crime, could be reduced. The Judge commented that one way or another, the county government is paying for this problem. He believed that the community would be better off (safer and more productive overall) if the problems were addressed sooner rather than later. He believed that there needed to be more funding for family stability initiatives and child welfare.

Generally speaking, Judge Williams believed that there could be cost savings for the government when early intervention and investment in child protective services were employed. He provided examples of suffering, witnessed in schools and in courts. He asked the Board of Commissioners to embrace early prevention and treatment. Today, he was making no proposal for a specific level of funding. He desired the Board to open its eyes to the statistics regarding investment in child welfare.

Jon Myers commented that it was difficult to address all needs, as the government can never replace responsible parenthood and that it was a difficult balancing act to fund and support all government responsibilities with limited resources.

Judge Williams also reported that he believed that there was a problem with the Coroner overzealously prescribing narcotics for county jail inmates and that he planned to speak with the Sheriff about this belief. Jon Myers commented that from the outside looking in, we do not have enough information to know if the medical prescriptions are appropriate for the situation, as this would be the decision of the Coroner combined with the Sheriff's policies for jail operations. Judge Williams commented he would be speaking to the Sheriff about this issue and that he endorsed inmates "drying out" while incarcerated.

3:00 p.m. Meeting with Dave Scheffler, Airport Authority Board President

A meeting was held with Dave Scheffler, Commissioners Kiger, Shupe and Myers and Carri Brown. Dave Scheffler provided an update on the developments at the County Airport. In addition, he reported upon several good reasons to relocate Election House Road. Such reasons included the improvement to public safety. He asked for the Board of Commissioners to review the packet provided and begin some discussions with the County Engineer. The Board of Commissioners agreed to set up a meeting time with the Engineer in order to review the topic. The Fairfield County Airport is dedicated to the promotion of industry and development within the community and will work hard to maintain the infrastructure needed for the facility. Dave Scheffler provided an overview of the instrument approaches. He also commented on the usefulness of the maps provided on the website of the County Auditor. The airport management contract concludes in September of 2007, and research will begin on how to best approach the new contract negotiations.

Adjournment

With no further business to come before the Board, on motion of Jon D. Myers and on the second of Judith K. Shupe, the Board of Commissioners voted to adjourn the meeting at 4:05 p.m.

Roll call vote of the motion resulted as follows:

Voting aye thereon: Jon D. Myers, Judith K. Shupe, and Mike Kiger.

Mike Kiger
Commissioner

Jon D. Myers
Commissioner

Judith K. Shupe
Commissioner

Carri L. Brown
Clerk/Manager

**THE NEXT REGULAR COMMISSIONERS' MEETING IS SCHEDULED
TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 13, 2007, AT 10:00 A.M.**